

The Long-Run Phillips Curve is... a Curve ¹

Guido Ascari

Paolo Bonomolo

Qazi Haque

*De Nederlandsche Bank
and University of Pavia*

De Nederlandsche Bank

*The University of
Adelaide*

Inflation: Drivers and Dynamics Conference 2021

Cleveland Fed and ECB

¹Views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect official positions of De Nederlandsche Bank

The question

An old debate: is there any trade-off between inflation and output/unemployment in the long run?

- ▶ Phelps (1967), Friedman (1968): Natural rate hypothesis
- ▶ "there is no permanent trade-off":
=> *the long-run Phillips curve is vertical*
- ▶ Cornerstone role in macroeconomic theory and practice
- ▶ The working assumption of central banks in the implementation of monetary policy

The question

It is surprising to note that:

- ▶ **Empirically:** There is little econometric work devoted to test the absence of a long-run trade-off.

Some literature: King and Watson (1994); Beyer and Farmer (2007); Berentsen et al. (2011); Haug and King (2014); Benati (2015)

- ▶ **Theoretically:** Modern macroeconomic sticky price frameworks generally do not imply the absence of a long-run relation
 - ▶ The Generalized NK model delivers a negative relationship between steady state inflation and output. See Ascari (2004); Ascari and Sbordone (2014)

Results

What is the long-run relation between inflation and output?

1. Time series model

- ▶ The LRPC is not vertical, it is negatively sloped (higher inflation is related to lower output in the LR)
- ▶ The key to get this result: model the LRPC as non linear
- ▶ Methodological contribution: a "convenient" non-linear approach

2. Structural model

- ▶ GNK model (Ascari and Ropele, 2009; Ascari and Sbordone, 2014): higher trend inflation causes lower GDP in the LR
- ▶ The model has the two key features from the statistical analysis: non-linear and negatively sloped LRPC
- ▶ The model is also able to capture the quantitative features of the time series analysis

The time series approach: A time-varying equilibrium VAR

Generalization of Steady State VAR (Villani, 2009; Del Negro et al., 2017; Johannes and Mertens, 2021):

$$A(L) (X_t - \bar{X}_t) = \varepsilon_t \quad \varepsilon_t \sim N(0, \Sigma_{\varepsilon,t}) \quad (1)$$

- ▶ X_t is a $(n \times 1)$ vector with observed variables at time
- ▶ \bar{X}_t is the vector with the long-run values of X_t

Trend-cycle decomposition:

$$X_t = \bar{X}_t + \hat{X}_t$$

- ▶ \hat{X}_t described by (1): stable component with unconditional expectation equal to zero
- ▶ $\bar{X}_t = h(\theta_t)$
 $\theta_t = f(\theta_{t-1}, \eta_t) \quad \eta_t \sim N(0, \Sigma_\eta)$

The model

- ▶ Three observables: GDP per capita, inflation and interest rate
- ▶ The short-run component: VAR with 4 lags

THE MODEL FOR THE LONG RUN

$\bar{y}_t = y_t^* + \delta(\bar{\pi}_t)$ the equilibrium level of output as function of inflation

$$y_t^* = y_{t-1}^* + g_t + \eta_t^y$$

$$g_t = g_{t-1} + \eta_t^g$$

$$\delta(\bar{\pi}_t) : \delta(0) = 0$$

$\bar{\pi}_t = \bar{\pi}_{t-1} + \eta_t^\pi$ trend inflation is random walk

$\bar{i}_t = \bar{\pi}_t + c g_t + z_t$ long-run Fisher equation

$$z_t = z_{t-1} + \eta_t^z$$

A non-linear long-run Phillips curve

Our choice of $\delta(\bar{\pi}_t)$ is a piecewise linear function:

$$\bar{y}_t = y_t^* + \delta(\bar{\pi}_t)$$

$$\delta(\bar{\pi}_t) = \begin{cases} k_1 \bar{\pi}_t & \text{if } \bar{\pi}_t \leq \tau \\ k_2 \bar{\pi}_t + c_k & \text{if } \bar{\pi}_t > \tau \end{cases}$$

- ▶ It is simpler to treat: methodological contribution
- ▶ It can approximate the kind of non-linearity we have in mind without imposing strong assumptions on a specific functional form
- ▶ It is easy to interpret

A piecewise linear approach

The model can be written in state space form:

$$Y_t = D(\theta_t) + F(\theta_t)\theta_t + \epsilon_t \quad (2)$$

$$\theta_t = M(\theta_t) + G(\theta_t)\theta_{t-1} + P(\theta_t)\eta_t \quad (3)$$

where, in particular

$$(D, F, M, G, P) = \begin{cases} (D_1, F_1, M_1, G_1, P_1) & \text{if } \bar{\pi}_t \leq \tau \\ (D_2, F_2, M_2, G_2, P_2) & \text{if } \bar{\pi}_t > \tau \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

- ▶ Methodological contribution: we find the likelihood and the posterior distribution of θ_t analytically
- ▶ Compromise between efficiency and misspecification

Estimation

- ▶ US data, sample from 1960Q1 to 2008Q2
- ▶ Bayesian approach

Two sources of non linearity: stochastic volatility and a piecewise linear LRPC => Particle filtering approach

1. "Rao-Blackwellization", thanks to the analytical results on the piecewise linear model
2. Particle filtering also to approximate the posterior distribution of the parameters
 - ▶ Particle learning by Carvalho Johannes Lopes and Polson (2010); see also Mertens and Nason (2020)
 - ▶ Mixture of Normal distributions as approximation of the posterior of τ (Liu and West, 2001)

Strategy for parameter learning follows Chen, Petralia and Lopes (2010) and Ascari, Bonomolo and Lopes (2019)

Estimation results - Linear model

A vertical (or flat) long-run Phillips curve




Figure: Posterior distributions of the slope of the LRPC - Linear model.

Estimation results - Non-linear model

Non linear and negatively sloped long-run Phillips curve




Figure: Posterior distributions of the slopes of the LRPC - Non-linear model.

Estimation results - Non-linear model

The threshold:




Figure: Posterior distributions of τ - Non-linear model.

Estimation results - non-linear model

A non-linear, negatively sloped long-run Phillips curve




Figure: LRPC - Non-linear model. Median and 90% probability interval.

Estimation results - non-linear model




Figure: Inflation and trend inflation - Non linear model.

The cost of trend inflation: the long-run output gap

$$\hat{Y}_t = \frac{Y_t}{\bar{Y}_t} = \frac{Y_t}{Y_t^*} \frac{Y_t^*}{\bar{Y}_t} \quad (5)$$




Figure: Long-run output gap estimated through the non-linear model.

The structural model

- ▶ A variant of Ascari and Ropele (2009), Ascari and Sbordone (2014) GNK model:
 - ▶ Inter-temporal Euler equation featuring (external) habit formation in consumption
 - ▶ Generalized New Keynesian Phillips curve featuring positive trend inflation
 - ▶ Taylor-type monetary policy rule
- ▶ Time varying trend inflation \Rightarrow LRPC is:
 - ▶ Non-linear
 - ▶ Negatively sloped
- ▶ When taking decisions the agents consider trend inflation as a constant parameter: anticipated-utility model (Kreps, 1998; Cogley and Sbordone, 2008)
- ▶ Stochastic volatility to the four shocks: discount factor, technology, monetary policy and trend inflation

The costs of trend inflation

- ▶ Price stickiness \Rightarrow price dispersion and inefficiency in the quantity produced
- ▶ Higher trend inflation leads to higher price dispersion and increases output inefficiency

Formally:

$$N_t = \int_0^1 N_{i,t} di = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{Y_{i,t}}{A_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} di = \underbrace{\int_0^1 \left(\frac{P_{i,t}}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{-\varepsilon}{1-\alpha}} di}_{s_t} \left(\frac{Y_t}{A_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$

Aggregate output is:

$$Y_t = \frac{A_t}{s_t^{1-\alpha}} N_t^{1-\alpha}$$

with long-run price dispersion: $\bar{s}_t = g(\bar{\pi}_t)$
+

Comparing long-run Phillips curves: VAR and GNK

The GNK model measures the costs of trend inflation consistently with the VAR




Figure: Long-run Phillips curve: median (continuous line) and 90% probability interval (dashed lines) - comparison between VAR (blue) and GNK (black) estimates.

Conclusions

- ▶ What is the long-run relation between inflation and output?
- ▶ A time series model suggests that the LRPC is:
 - ▶ Non linear
 - ▶ Negatively sloped
- ▶ We interpret these findings through the lens of a GNK model
- ▶ This model is able to measure the costs implied by the LRPC consistently with the time series model

EXTRA

Econometric strategy

We use a particle filtering strategy to approximate the joint posterior distribution of latent processes and parameters:

Latent processes: a "conditional piecewise linear model"

$$p(\theta_t, \Sigma_{\epsilon,t} | Y_t) = \underbrace{p(\theta_t | \Sigma_{\epsilon,t}, Y_t)}_{\text{"optimal importance kernel"}} \underbrace{p(\Sigma_{\epsilon,t} | Y_t)}_{\text{"blind proposal"}}$$

Parameters:

- ▶ Particle learning by Carvalho Johannes Lopes and Polson (2010); see also Mertens and Nason (2020)
- ▶ Mixture of Normal distributions as approximation of the posterior of τ (Liu and West, 2001)

Strategy for parameter learning follows Chen, Petralia and Lopes (2010) and Ascari, Bonomolo and Lopes (2019)

A fully adapted particle filter

At $t - 1$: $\{\theta_{t-1}^{(i)}\}_1^N$ approximate $p(\theta_{t-1} | \psi, X_{1:t-1})$

1. Resample

▶ Compute $\tilde{w}_t^{(i)} \propto p(X_t | \theta_{t-1}^{(i)}, \psi, X_{1:t-1})$

▶ Resample $\{\tilde{\theta}_{t-1}^{(i)}\}_1^N$ using $\{\tilde{w}_t^{(i)}\}_1^N$

2. Propagate

▶ draw $\theta_t^{(i)} \sim p(\theta_t | \tilde{\theta}_{t-1}^{(i)}, \psi, X_{1:t-1})$

where:

▶ $p(X_t | \theta_{t-1}^{(i)}, \psi, X_{1:t-1})$ is a weighted sum of Unified Skew Normal distributions (Arellano-Valle and Azzalini, 2006)

▶ $p(\theta_t | \tilde{\theta}_{t-1}^{(i)}, \psi, X_{1:t-1})$ is a weighted sum of multivariate truncated Normal distributions

Household

The economy is populated by a representative agent with utility

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t d_t \left[\ln (C_t - hC_{t-1}) - d_n \frac{N_t^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi} \right]$$

Budget constraint is given by

$$P_t C_t + R_t^{-1} B_t = W_t N_t + D_t + B_{t-1}$$

d_t is a discount factor shock which follows an AR(1) process

$$\ln d_t = \rho_d \ln d_{t-1} + \epsilon_{d,t}$$

Final good firm

Perfectly competitive final good firms combine intermediate inputs

$$Y_t = \left[\int_0^1 Y_{i,t}^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} di \right]^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}} \quad \varepsilon > 1$$

Price index is a CES aggregate of intermediate input prices

$$P_t = \left[\int_0^1 P_{i,t}^{1-\varepsilon} di \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}$$

The demand schedule for intermediate input

$$Y_{i,t} = \left[\frac{P_{i,t}}{P_t} \right]^{-\varepsilon} Y_t$$

Intermediate good firm

Each firm i produces according to the production function

$$Y_{i,t} = A_t N_{i,t}^{1-\alpha}$$

where A_t denotes the level of technology and its growth rate $g_t \equiv A_t/A_{t-1}$ follows

$$\ln g_t = \ln \bar{g} + \epsilon_{g,t}$$

Price setting

Firms adjust prices $P_{i,t}^*$ to maximize expected discounted profits with probability $0 < 1 - \theta < 1$

$$E_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta^j \beta^j \frac{\lambda_{t+j}}{\lambda_t} \left[\frac{P_{i,t}^*}{P_{t+j}} Y_{i,t+j} - \frac{W_{t+j}}{P_{t+j}} \left[\frac{Y_{i,t+j}}{A_{t+j}} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \right]$$

subject to the demand schedule

$$Y_{i,t+j} = \left[\frac{P_{i,t}^*}{P_{t+j}} \right]^{-\varepsilon} Y_{t+j},$$

where λ_t is the marginal utility of consumption.

The Phillips curve

The first order condition for the optimized relative price $x_t (= \frac{P_{i,t}^*}{P_t})$ is given by

$$(x_t)^{1 + \frac{\varepsilon\alpha}{1-\alpha}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{(\varepsilon - 1)(1 - \alpha)} \frac{E_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\theta\beta)^j \lambda_{t+j} \frac{W_{t+j}}{P_{t+j}} \left[\frac{Y_{t+j}}{A_{t+j}} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \pi_{t|t+j}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\alpha}}}{E_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\theta\beta)^j \lambda_{t+j} \pi_{t|t+j}^{\varepsilon-1} Y_{t+j}}.$$

where $\pi_{t|t+j} = \frac{P_{t+1}}{P_t} \times \dots \times \frac{P_{t+j}}{P_{t+j-1}}$ for $j \geq 1$ and $\pi_{t|t} = \pi_t$.

▶ Back

Price setting contd.

Aggregate price level evolves according to

$$P_t = \left[\int_0^1 P_{i,t}^{1-\varepsilon} di \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}} \Rightarrow$$
$$x_t = \left[\frac{1 - \theta \pi_t^{\varepsilon-1}}{1 - \theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}} .$$

Finally, price dispersion $s_t \equiv \int_0^1 \left(\frac{P_{i,t}}{P_t} \right)^{-\varepsilon} di$ can be written recursively as:

$$s_t = (1 - \theta)x_t^{-\varepsilon} + \theta \pi_t^{\varepsilon} s_{t-1}$$

Monetary policy

$$\frac{R_t}{\bar{R}_t} = \left(\frac{R_{t-1}}{\bar{R}_t} \right)^\rho \left[\left(\frac{\pi_t}{\bar{\pi}_t} \right)^{\psi_\pi} \left(\frac{Y_t}{Y_t^n} \right)^{\psi_x} \left(\frac{g_t^y}{\bar{g}} \right)^{\psi_{\Delta y}} \right]^{1-\rho} e^{\varepsilon_{r,t}}$$

$$\ln \bar{\pi}_t = \ln \bar{\pi}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{\bar{\pi},t}$$

where $\bar{\pi}_t$ denotes trend inflation, Y_t^n is the flex-price output and g_t^y is growth rate of output.

Estimates of the parameters

Table 1: Prior and Posterior Distributions

Parameter	Prior			Posterior
	Density	Mean	St Dev	
ψ_π	Gamma	1.5	0.5	2.05 [1.83 2.3]
ψ_x	Gamma	0.125	0.05	0.1 [0.06 0.16]
$\psi_{\Delta y}$	Gamma	0.125	0.05	0.42 [0.26 0.67]
ρ	Beta	0.7	0.1	0.74 [0.71 0.77]
h	Beta	0.5	0.1	0.41 [0.36 0.46]
r^*	Gamma	2	0.5	1.88 [1.68 2.1]
θ	Beta	0.5	0.1	0.51 [0.46 0.56]
ρ_d	Beta	0.7	0.1	0.79 [0.74 0.83]
	Density	Mean	Degree of freedom	
δ_d^2	Inverse Gamma	0.02 ²	5	0.047 ² [0.042 ² 0.054 ²]
δ_g^2	Inverse Gamma	0.02 ²	5	0.047 ² [0.042 ² 0.053 ²]
δ_r^2	Inverse Gamma	0.02 ²	5	0.029 ² [0.026 ² 0.033 ²]
δ_π^2	Inverse Gamma	0.02 ²	5	0.012 ² [0.011 ² 0.014 ²]

Posterior median and 90% credibility interval in brackets