wage increase. This development suggests that Ford and GM will seek reinstatement of the annual improvement factor in their September 1984 talks. The automobile industry's recent experimentation with profit-sharing may be superior if substituted for the annual improvement factor approach. Not only do the firms reduce their risk exposure, but the profit bonus paid to employees does not add to the wage base.

In addition to the inflation implications of past practices in the industry, some analysts would argue that the costs of U.S. car producers are too high compared with those of their foreign competitors. If this were true, even a 6 percent per year compensation settlement in the auto industry would not improve its competitive position. Unless U.S. automobile producers are willing to gamble that a large dollar depreciation would equalize domestic and imported car prices, they would need to press hard for reductions in unit costs or even more protection from foreign automobile producers.

Conclusion
Labor compensation trends are important determinants and indicators of long-run price trends. Although recent wage developments indicate a substantial reduction in unit labor costs, there is little assurance that these developments will endure. In fact, experience suggests that a lasting moderation in unit production costs would be difficult to achieve.

Though wage- and price-setting decisions are inherently private ones in our society, public policy is affected by the outcomes and, in turn, can influence the outcomes of such decisions. Because this is an election year, public policy actions may be limited more than usual. To the extent that decisions are made, we would prefer that micro-economic policies would be used to enhance competition in individual markets (i.e., automobiles) and that macro-economic policies would be used to prevent the acceleration in inflation to which wage negotiators inevitably react.

Collective Bargaining and Disinflation
by Mark S. Sniderman and Daniel A. Littman

Labor relations and collective bargaining have changed markedly in recent years. Although economic recessions usually bring deescalation of wages and increased labor concessions, an inordinate number of labor concessions occurred in the recession years of 1981 and 1982. Economy-wide wage growth dropped to its slowest pace since the early 1970s. At the same time, roughly one-half of the 3.3 million workers settling union contracts in 1982 accepted wage freezes or cuts. An even larger number acceded to cost-saving changes in work rules and fringe benefits. Concessional labor contracts were settled in highly visible key industries, such as automobiles and steel, and traditional bargaining patterns among unions deteriorated. Strike activity dropped to a postwar low, and unions lost more than one-half of their representation elections.

Meanwhile, many employers seemed more willing to accept the notion that their firms' survival depended on improving productivity and cutting costs. Wages tend to be the most slowly adjusting set of prices in the economy, and union wages tend to adjust to inflation and competitive factors even more slowly than nonunion wages. The inertia stems from the multi-year duration of labor contracts. Because of their high visibility, union wage settlements may provide standards for nonunion wage decisions. For the economy as a whole, a sharply low trend in wage increases helps to restrain inflation, improving the choices facing policymakers who are confronted with other problems in the economy.

It is, of course, difficult to judge how many of the changes in the current bargaining climate will continue in the 1984-85 period, because it is difficult to separate the 1981-82 experience into cyclical influences and longer-term structural aspects affecting U.S. industries. Downward wage adjustments have occurred before; significant labor-contract concessions appeared in the textile industry in the 1950s, in the meatpacking industry in the 1960s, and in public employee unions in the mid-1970s.

Wage Behavior over the Business Cycle
A number of theories explain the process of wage-setting and, more importantly, how wage-price spirals are initiated and sustained. Regardless of one's view of the entire inflation process, it is useful to examine the behavior of wages over the business cycle (see figure 1). The turning points and trends shown here suggest that wages are not the driving force of wage-price spirals. The substantial increases in consumer prices in 1973-74 and 1978-79 preceded, and were much larger in magnitude than, the increases in economy-wide wage rates. Although union wage costs are somewhat more responsive to sharp changes in inflation, they appear to be more
sluggish than total hourly earnings in response to longer price trends. Also, union wages resist downward adjustments to disinflation. Second, observe the moderation of union-labor rates. Deferred increases in wages appear to fluctuate moderately around a mean of 3 percentage points per year, with little change in the mean over this period. Although deferred increases averaged 4 percentage points in 1981, they will contribute an unusually low 1.8 percentage points in 1984 because of concession activity in the past few years.

In the early part of the 1970s, COLAs contributed only 1 percentage point per year to union labor costs; even during the worst ravages of inflation at the end of the decade, COLAs contributed just above 3 percentage points per year. Because they generally are tied to increases in the Consumer Price Index, COLAs move coincidentally with inflation, i.e., respond to inflation with only a short delay. Because of the large decline in the inflation rate in 1982, COLAs contributed small again during 1983. Most forecasters now anticipate that consumer prices will rise from the current 4 percent rate to perhaps 5 percent in mid-1984 and somewhat more by year-end. In 1983 the combination of effective COLAs and deferred pay increases amounted to union labor rate changes of 5 percent per year.

Being highly sensitive to the business cycle and price inflation, effective current settlements probably are the first place where upward wage pressures are apparent. Effective current settlements contribute 3 percentage points per year on average to total union wages. As shown in figure 2, current settlements declined markedly since 1981, although most analysts believe that current settlements will begin to increase soon.

The transportation equipment industry has established a productivity growth trend of 3 percent per year over the past 30 years. Output changes have been more volatile than productivity over cyclical subperiods, reflecting the ability of the industry to regulate labor hours effectively. The productivity performance of the industry, when compared with 30 other U.S. industries, has fluctuated widely during the past three decades. This record makes a long-term trend hard to discern over short periods of time. Based on industry trends, it seems that the best productivity growth the industry could expect in an economic expansion would be 4 percent to 5 percent per year. In light of the most recent industry performance, a 3 percent average rate might be a more realistic standard for a sustained period of time.

Using this 3 percent productivity trend as a guide, if future automobile price increases are to be no more than 3 percent per year, total labor compensation per hour can increase by no more than 6 percent per year, on average. This goal will not be easy to achieve, for several reasons. First, the automobile industry and the nation together are likely to total more than 1.8 percentage points in 1984

Automobile Industry Talks

Over long periods, prices in the automobile industry and the nation as a whole follow the trend in unit production costs. These costs in turn depend on resource costs and productivity. Since labor accounts for nearly three-fourths of gross income in the motor vehicle industry, labor productivity and compensation trends are extremely important determinants of automobile price trends (see table 1).

The transportation equipment industry has established a productivity growth trend of 3 percent per year over the past 30 years. Output changes have been more volatile than productivity over cyclical subperiods, reflecting the ability of the industry to regulate labor hours effectively. The productivity performance of the industry, when compared with 30 other U.S. industries, has fluctuated widely during the past three decades. This record makes a long-term trend hard to discern over short periods of time. Based on industry trends, it seems that the best productivity growth the industry could expect in an economic expansion would be 4 percent to 5 percent per year. In light of the most recent industry performance, a 3 percent average rate might be a more realistic standard for a sustained period of time.

Using this 3 percent productivity trend as a guide, if future automobile price increases are to be no more than 3 percent per year, total labor compensation per hour can increase by no more than 6 percent per year, on average. This goal will not be easy to achieve, for several reasons. First, the automobile industry and the nation together are likely to total more than 1.8 percentage points in 1984.