Mortgage Lending Patterns in the 4th District as of June 2013

Underwater loans, home prices, and refinancing rates:

- **Figure 1** examines two variables in Ohio and Pennsylvania: The ratio of underwater loans\(^1\) to active loans (bars) and the home price index (lines). From 2008 to 2012 Ohio has had a higher ratio than Pennsylvania. While the ratio increased from 2008 to 2012 in both states—from 16% to nearly 24% in Ohio and from 3% to 12% in Pennsylvania—Ohio’s has remained stable since 2011. The level of underwater loans is directly influenced by trends in home prices. For instance, slight declines in home prices in both states from 2008 to 2012, correspond with the trend of increasing rates of underwater loans. In addition, Pennsylvania home prices have maintained a higher level, which helps to explain that state’s lower rate of underwater loans.

- **Figure 2** shows the percent of underwater loans that are current for Ohio and Pennsylvania. Trends in both states are U-shaped over the 5-year period, with Pennsylvania showing a higher rate than Ohio’s in each year. Since 2010 the rates for both states have been increasing—an encouraging trend, given the increase in underwater loans.

\(^1\) To estimate the number of underwater loans we compare the current value of the home to the current loan balance; those who owe more than the home’s value are considered to be underwater. A more in-depth explanation can be found here.
These data briefs, produced by Research Analyst Matt Klesta of the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland’s Community Development team, provide periodic snapshots of mortgage lending activity and foreclosure patterns in our District using recently published data (check out this detailed, county-specific map of the 4th District). Delinquency and foreclosure data are from Lender Processing Services (LPS) Applied Analytics. The data include loan level servicing data for both securitize loans and loans held in portfolio from the top 10 residential mortgage servicers in the nation and others. Smaller servicers have less representation.

- **Figure 3** looks at trends in refinance rates according to the change in home prices from their peak in March 2007 to February 2013. As illustrated, refinance rates in both states remain unchanged regardless of the magnitude of the home price change.

- **Figure 4** examines the two states’ refinance rates using delinquency as a measure of distress. In both delinquency categories—one comprising the three lowest quartiles and the other the highest quartile—Ohio has seen an increase in refinancings between 2011 and 2012, with the largest increase occurring in zip codes with the highest delinquency rates. Pennsylvania’s lower refinance rates have remained virtually unchanged over the two years.

interested in learning more? Additional maps and previous editions can be found on our publications page under Mortgage Lending Patterns: www.clevelandfed.org/CommunityDevelopment/publications