U.S. consumer spending will stimulate mports of consumer goods. Econo Eco he Fourth District Business Economists Roundtable look for per tise this year three times as fast as last year ( 2.3 percent instead of 0.8 percent). In addition, strains on domes ic manufacturing capacity will stimu late imports of scarce materials, such as some steel products, although quotas will limit imports in some cases. U.S. exports will be aided by delayed responses to past increases in their price competitiveness as compared to German and Japanese exports. For example, in the four quarters ending in 1987 third quarter, the ratio of the prices of German exports of general industrial machinery to the prices of U.S. exports of similar products rose by 15.8 percent. The comparable Japa U.S. ratio increased by 3.1 percent. ose by 93 percent and the Japan US ratio increased by 4.4 percent.
hese changes should help U.S. exports gain larger shares of the markets for imports in nations where he U.S. competes with Germany
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and/or Japan. However, the magniudes of these improvements in price competitiveness are much smaller han the improvements seen in the preceding four quarters, so unless the delays are rather long, the gains to U.S. trace could be smaller this year mice Moritiveness will be ofset re some degree by the difficuly for exports that will be caused by the lower growth of foreign developed ations that was mentioned earlier.
Conclusion
While further substantial improvement in trade is likely in 1988 , there are many reasons to be skeptical about oreasis mar cal for he real mer. xports to improve three or four times much in 1988 as they did in 1987 Cleveland. The autbor would like to thank Mark Sniderman for belpful comments, and to thank John B. Martin and Cbristin The wieus stated herein ase those of autbor and not necessarily those of the Cederal Reserve Bank of Cleveland or of be Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

- Footnotes

1. The term "net exports" refers to the位 balance of goods and services tracting foreign goods and services that are mported. Net exports is the sum of the oods (merchandise) rade balance an He services trade balance. The trade either in "current" dollars (that is, at rent prices), or in "constant" "o "real" dol. lars by adjusting for the effects of inflation
since 1982 Net exports that are measured ince 1982. Net exports that are measure ns "real net exports."
. The current-account deficit was $\$ 160$ billion in 1987 . If real net exports rise by 34 billion, as forecast by the Blue Chip that would be roughly a $\$ 45$ billion current dollar improvement in the current account, bringing it to roughly $\$ 115$ bilion. This is a rather optimistic forecast mpared to the 1988 ment.account deficit.
2. The required improvement would be less if some of the capital inflow reflects ederal government borrowing from broad because, under national income
ccounting conventions, govermment ccounting conventions, governmen considered to be payments for imported services.
. The trade balance in other services fell n average $\$ 3.1$ billion per year from a 2. 1 billion in 1986 and fell 80.3 billion last year.

## Merchandise Trade and the Outlook for 1988

by Gerald H. Anderson
$\mathrm{M}_{\text {ost forecasts for growth of the }}$ economy in 1988 depend heavily on the expectation that there will be a major increase in net exports. ${ }^{1}$ Although real net exports have been in creasing significantly, and made a 1988, there are some quarter of cles to achieving the degre of im provement that so fin recasts call for.

Real net exports increased by $\$ 16$ bil lion in 1987, but most forecasts for 1988 expect increases of nearly twice to more than four times that amount. For example, 21 economists who met in April at the Fourth Federal Reserve Diste Burally Ecomist forl rise in real net expors that will con tribute 40 percent of the total grouth expected in the economy in 1988 Other economists have similar exp tations. The Blue Chip Economic Indicators panel of 52 forecasters expect a $\$ 45$ billion increase, while one private consultant, Data Resources Ine private consultant, Data Resources
Incer (DRI), expects a $\$ 69$ billion rise in real net exports.

- Too Optimistic

While further growth in net exports is certainly likely this year, it may be difficult to achieve the tripling or quad rupling of last year's improvement that
is included in many forecasts. After all, the net exports deficit has been remarkably stubborn and most fore casts for improvement in 1987 and 1986 turned out to be much too opti mistic. This record of persistent overestimation suggests hat he magh of arite wide Thus it is not unreason ble to expect that many forecasts for 1988 also may be too optimistic.

Real net exports reached a low poin in 1986 third quarter, about a year and half after the dollar reached its peak and began to depreciate in early 1985. Berween 1986 fourth quarte and 1987 fourth quarter, real net exports rose by $\$ 16.0$ billion (table 1). Howerer, all during the remainder of the year there was little change Real net exports rose $\$ 167$ billion in the firs quarter of 1988 . That strong perfor: quarter of 1988. That strong perfor conclude that the economy is on it way to a very large improvement in real net exports this year. However, analysts could have come to the same conclusion after last year's big firstquarter gain, and they would have been wrong. Changes in real net exports tend to be erratic, so judge-

Will this year's improvement in U.S. foreign trade be double, triple, or even quadruple last year's gain? Many orecasters think this will happen and are expecting that a major growth of real net exports will fuel further expansion of our economy. There are many factors, however, working against trade improvement of this magnitude, making it unlikel hat the expectations of the more optimistic of these forecasters will be met.
ments about likely improvemen should be made by looking at under lying influences rather than at the changes in the most recent quarter. Further depreciation of the foreign exchange value of the dollar most ikely will not be an important source forecasts cited above only the one from DRI is explicit about the doll DRI looks for the dollar to deprecize slightly less in 1988 than in 1987. Certainly there is no consensus among forecasters for greater depreciation
his year, and the governments of the major nations seem to have a strong commitment to avoid much further depreciation of the dollar.
In the first quarter of this year, the dolar depreciated only moderately (table 2). Even if the dollar were to depreciate substantially in the remainder or the year, the considerable delay beween exchange-rate change and its effect on the real trade balance argues that further depreciation will not be a stronger source of trade improvemen in 1988 than it was in 1987.
last year's improvement in real mer chandise trade was partially offset by a $\$ 1.5$ billion deterioration of the ser vices trade balance, which dropped another $\$ 2.8$ billion in 1988 first quar er (table 1). An important element in the decline in the services balance is America's large current-account defi its that cause large net inflows of for. ign capital. As our international net investment position deteriorates, for eign investment earnings in the U.S. grow faster than U.S. investment earn ngs abroad, narrowing the services rade surplus. This effect has been oustipping he beneris to trade in comperitiveness brought about by ollar depreciation.
the U.S. current-account deficit in 1988 requires a net capital inflow of, say, $\$ 115$ billion and if average earnings on that capital are 8 percent, then, other things equal, the net international flow of current-dollar investment income would worsen by 2.2 bilion, or roughly $\$ 8$ bison nly (1) te an $\$ 8$ billion improvement in other trade categories just to avoid a deterioration in real net exports.

- Implications

Consider what that means for achiev ing the $\$ 45$ billion improvement in real net exports expected in the Blue Chip Economic Indicators consensu forecast for 1988. If net capital inflow lows, and assuming $\$ 2$ billion net
 items, then for real net exports of oods and services to rise by $\$ 45$ bil
lion, the merchandise balance will have to rise by $\$ 51$ billion. ${ }^{4}$ If achieved, that would be nearly three mes the $\$ 17.5$ billion improvement in real merchandise trade realized in 1987.

The real merchandise trade balance, excluding petroleum imports, mproved by $\$ 19.5$ billion in 1987 ncluding petroleum imports, in mproved by $\$ 17.5$ billion (table 1 ). in contrast, the current-dollar merchandise balance, excluding petroleum imports, improved by only $\$ 8.8$ billion. When petroleum imports are ncluded, the balance worsened by 4.0 billion. In 1988 first quarter, those balances improved by amount ranging from $\$ 13.1$ billion to $\$ 20.6$ bilion. Because he current-dolla
 rern inflow the US. requires, it is impor. ant along with the real merchandise alance, in determining what growth ere will be in real net exports. Why has there been so little improvement in the current dollar merchandise balance, and indeed, onsidering the magnitude of the dolatively litte imp ral merchandise balance? Futher, what are the prospects for improve. nent in those balances?
artance expors have shown solid growth recently. Last year, real merchandise exports increased by 18 percent or $\$ 47.1$ billion. However, hey are unlikely to grow much faster S increase in 1988 first qual rat withstanding in fact export growth could be rearded if the growth rates f some of our major trading partners low, as they are forecast to do Real domestic demand in industrialized ations other than the US . is forecas y the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) to slow from 3.5 percent in 1987 to 2.75 percent in 1988 . Because hose nations buy about two-thirds of u.S. exports, if that forecast of reduced demand growth is accurate,
hen hope for more rapid improvement in merchandise trade must lie with U.S. exports capturing a larger share of world markets and with a reduction, or at least slower growth, of imports in U.S. markets.
Real merchandise imports increased by 7 percent in 1987, despite the large depreciation of the dollar in recent years. Several factors have sup ported the continuing growth of imports and are likely to continue to do o, despite the optimism that some nalysts feel because imports grew at nly a 1 percent annual rate in 1988 first quarter. Perhaps most importan is the fact that import prices have isen at a much slower rate than other currencies have risen against the dol lar. Measured on a trade-weighted average basis, 10 major currencies dollar between 1985 first quarter, when they bottomed against the doar, and 1987 fourth quarter (table 2). Nonfuel import prices, as measured by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, in con trast, rose only 22 percent in the same period. Foreign exporters apparenty have been willing to reduce their profit margins to limit price increase passed on to customer

## - NIC Currencies

Also, some foreign currencies have either appreciated substantially les against the dollar than the 10 major currencies in the trade-weighted index, or have actually depreciated. The currencies of the newly indus trialized countries (NICs) (South Korea, Taiwan, Hong Kong, and Singa pore) are important examples. Those our currencies on average rose 13.9 percent ag fouth quater. fourth quarter.

Moreover, that average increase didn begin until seven months after increases in the major currency index. Most of the rise in the NIC currencies has also been very recent, which will limit its impact on the trade balance 1. 1988. U.s. importers can buy less ore fromer NICs or and buy curcies have cor mus winst the dollar Moreover, Japa

TABLE $1 \begin{aligned} & \text { Real Net Exports }{ }^{\text {a }} \\ & \text { (billions of } 1982 \text { dolll }\end{aligned}$

|  | Changes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1987 \\ \text { IVQ/IVQ } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1988 \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Exports | 65.2 | 21.8 |
| Services | 18.0 | 0.8 |
| Merchandise | 47.1 | 21.0 |
| Imports | 49.2 | 5.0 |
| Services | 19.5 | 3.6 |
| Merchandise | 29.6 | 1.4 |
| Merchandise, excluding petroleum imports | 27.6 | 0.4 |
| Balance (net exports) | 16.0 | 16.7 |
| Services | -1.5 | -2.8 |
| Merchandise | 17.5 | 19.6 |
| Merchandise, excluding petroleum imports | 19.5 | 20.6 |

a. Seasonally adiusted at annual rates.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce.

TABLE 2 Foreign Currency Appreciation Against the U.S. Dollar (percent)

| Currency | $\begin{gathered} 1985: 1 \mathrm{lQ} \\ \text { to } \\ \text { 1987:VQ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1987:IVQ } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { to } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trade-weighted average of 10 major currencies | 69.6 | 2.6 |
| Japanese yen | 92.3 | 4.6 |
| West German mark | 87.5 | 3.2 |
| British pound | 57.4 | 2.3 |
| Canadian dollar | 3.2 | 3.5 |
| Trade-weighted average of four NIC currencies ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 13.9 | 2.4 |



## table 3

U.S. Trade Balances by Count
(billions of current dollars)

|  | Levels |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Changes } \\ & \text { in } 1987 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |  |
| Developed nations | -92.2 | -103.2 | -100.0 | 3.2 |
| Japan | -49.7 | . 58.6 | -59.8 | -1.2 |
| West Germany | -12.2 | -15.6 | -16.3 | -0.7 |
| Developing nations | 51.4 | -54.1 | -68.0 | -13.9 |
| East Asian NICs ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -25.0 | -30.8 | -37.7 | -6.9 |
| Centrally planned economies | 0.8 | -2.3 | -3.3 | -1.0 |
| Total | -142.8 | -159.6 | . 171.3 | . 11.7 |
| a. Newly industrialize NOTE: Imports cost, SOURCE: U.S. Depart d. F. Foreign Trade Hig | ies: Hon e, and fre Commer | (C), <br> IF); expo mational | e, and Ta alongside Administr | (FAS). from 1987 |

and others can buy lower-priced export components from countries whose currencies have changed little against the dollar, thereby moderating cost increases in their export product Price increases introduced by U.S. producers is another factor hampe ing merchandise trade balance improvement. The domestic goods price deflator, a measure of the price increases obtained by U.S. producers, increased 5.1 percent from 1985 first quarter to 1987 fourth quarter. These increases have offset part of the competitive advantage provided by impot price hikes.

- Consumer Attitudes

Still another factor working against trade improvement is the belief amon products have superior qualities that make them attractive despite price increases. Finally, many of the devel oping nations with which the United States trades have difficulty servicing the debt resulting from the bilions dollars that they have borrowed from abroad. To obtain funds for debt service, those nations have an incentive to vigorously promote their exports, some of which become U.S. imports. The impact of some of these factors can be seen in the changing pattern of U.S. trade balances. In 1987, the U.S. trade deficit with the developed . while the deficit with developing n) $\mathbf{O}$ rose by $\$ 13.9$ billion (table . 69 the latter, approximately halr ( $\$ 6.9$ billion) is accounted for by the four Asian NICs. An improved balance of trade with the NICs is needed to help improve the overall crade ba ance, but so far here has been no

The real merchandise trade balance will benefit from the likely further rise in import prices in 1988 in de layed response to past dollar deprecia , be greater than in the past because foreign exporters' profit margins have already been reduced, so there is les scope for squeezing them further. involuntarily in 1987 fourth quarter

