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Policy backdrop

- Monetary policy normalization
  - Balance sheet reduction

- Adequacy of reserve balances

- This paper approaches reserve ampleness through the lens of payment dynamics
Reserve balances and payments

- Prior to the Global Financial Crisis,
  - Reserves were low relative to payments
  - Banks relied on incoming payments to make payments
  - Strategic complementarities in payments

- Since then,
  - Central banks have expanded balance sheets (LSAPs, liquidity facilities)
  - Large increase in reserve balances in many jurisdictions

- Do strategic complementarities in payments still exist?
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◊ Empirical results

◊ Robustness
Data

1. Payment transactions

◊ Fedwire Funds Service

* Real time gross settlement (RTGS) system
* 21.5 hour day: 9:00 pm - 6:30 pm ET
* Daily volume (2020): \(\sim\) 700,000 transfers
* Daily value (2020): \(\sim\) $3.3 trillion

◊ Our sample:

* First 100 business days of 2020; 2010-2020
* Minute-by-minute
* Banks - Excludes “special” accounts (ACH, CHIPS, CLS, TGA,...)
* Largest top 100 accounts by average daily dollar value of payments
* Dollar value (2020)
  - Top 100 captures 89% of dollar value (∼$3 tn per day)
  - Top 15 captures 76% of top 100 value

![Average daily value and volume graph]
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2. Reserve balances

- Internal Federal Reserve accounting records
- Top 15 accounts hold 40% of the reserves in the U.S. banking system
Strategic complementarity in payments

- Key relationship: $\text{Payments}_t = f(\text{Cumulative Receipts}_{t-s})$

- Distinctive data features
  1. Intraday dynamics

![Fedwire Funds by Time of Day](image)

  2. Zero payments
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Our model

⋄ Baseline specification (Tobit model)

\[
\log (1 + P_{imt}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log \left(1 + \sum_{s=m-15}^{m-1} R_{ist}\right) + \gamma_i + \gamma_t + \gamma_m^p + u_{imt}
\]

where

- \( P_{imt} \) total dollar value payments from bank \( i \) to its counterparties in minute \( m \) on day \( t \)
- \( \sum_{s=m-15}^{m-1} R_{ist} \) bank \( i \)'s cumulative receipts during previous 15 minutes
- \( \gamma_i \) and \( \gamma_t \) are bank and date FEs
- \( \gamma_m^p = \{\gamma_m^{open}, \gamma_m^{early}, \gamma_m^{afternoon}, \gamma_m^{eod}\} \) are period-of-day FEs
- \( u_{imt} \) is an error term

Standard errors clustered at the bank level
## Main results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>log(1 + (P_{int}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tobit (MLE)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\log(1 + \sum_{s=m-15}^{m-1} R_{ist})
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Marginal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.575***</td>
<td>0.395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.179)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Marginal effect.** A 1% increase in the cumulative payments received by bank \(i\) in the previous 15 minutes translates into a 0.4% increase in the value of payments that bank \(i\) makes over the next minute.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clustering</th>
<th>Bank FEs</th>
<th>Date FEs</th>
<th>Early dummy</th>
<th>EOD dummy</th>
<th>Afternoon dummies</th>
<th>Open dummy</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Left-censored</th>
<th>Pseudo (R^2)</th>
<th>Log-likelihood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1,935,000</td>
<td>875,098</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>-3,157,609.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Robustness

1. Bank, date and period-of-the-day fixed effects

2. Control for balances
   - Opening balances
   - Past payments

3. Gauging strategic complementarities
   Complementarity is stronger when banking system reserves are lower
Different intraday payments dynamics
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Share of receipts by time of day

\[ \text{ShareReceipts}_t = \hat{\beta}_0^s + \hat{\beta}_1^s B_t + u_t^s \]

On high reserve days, banks receive a higher share of receipts in the morning
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A feature of 2020?
Complementarities in payments

Coordination is higher when reserves are lower
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Share of payments by time of day

![Graph showing share of payments by time of day with average cumulative receipts per total daily amount received. The graph covers the period 2010-2020. It illustrates two lines: one for high opening balances and another for low opening balances. The graph peaks around 18:00 for both categories.]
Reserves and timing of intraday payments

On high reserve days, banks still receive a higher share of receipts in the morning
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Concluding remarks

◊ Shed light on "ampleness" of reserves through revealed actions in payments
  - A 1% increase in the payments a bank receives in previous 15 minutes translates into a 0.4% increase in the payments it makes next minute

◊ This effect persists even in era of high reserve balances

◊ On days with low reserve balances,
  - Stronger effect
  - Banks receive a higher fraction of their receipts in the afternoon